Ordering Information

Catalog No.	Product	Size	Items/Box	Boxes/Case	HCPCS Code
3343	3M™ Cavilon™ No Sting Barrier Film	1 mL wand	25	4	A6250
3344	3M™ Cavilon™ No Sting Barrier Film	1 mL wipe	25	4	A6250
3345	3M™ Cavilon™ No Sting Barrier Film	3 mL wand	25	4	A6250
3346	3M™ Cavilon™ No Sting Barrier Film	28 mL spray	12	1	A6250

HCPCS codes have been provided to assist you in the preparation of insurance claims. Please note, however, that the reimbursement information provided by 3M Health Care and its representatives is intended to provide general information relevant to coverage and coding for 3M products. Insurers' reimbursement policies can vary and the use of the codes discussed here does not guarantee that an insurer will cover or pay at any particular level. Health care providers should exercise independent clinical judgment in choosing the codes which most accurately describe the products provided.

Evidence-based

There are over 70 pieces of clinical evidence⁽⁵⁾ supporting the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of Cavilon No Sting Barrier Film for multiple clinical uses. This represents more evidence than any other moisture barrier or barrier film.



70-2010-8025-9 All rights reserved. © 3M 2010, 9/2010, 2014.

3M and Cavilon are trademarks of 3M. Please recycle. Printed in USA.

mww.3M.com/Cavilon 1-800-228-3957 2510 Conway Avenue St. Paul, MN 55144 3M Health Care Critical & Chronic Care Solutions Division



care protocol in nursing homes. J of Tissue Viability. 2004;14(2): 44-50. Bale S, Tebble M, Jones V, Price P. The benefits of implementing a new skin

3M Data on file.

Nurs. 2013;40(4): 365-380.

and Treatment of Adhesive-Related skin Injuries. J Wound Ostomy Continence State of the Science: Consensus Statements for the Assessment, Prevention,

1) McMichol L, Lund C, Rosen T, Gray M. Medical Adhesives and Patient Safety:

References:

3M[™] Cavilon[™] No Sting Barrier Film

3M Health Care. Cavilon No Sting Barrier Film. Clinical Evidence Summaries. 2012.

with incontinence. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs. 2007;34(2): 143-52.

of four skin damage prevention regimens in nursing home residents

4) Bliss DZ, Zehrer C, Savik K, Smith G, Hedblom E. An economic evaluation



