

Delayed healing and wound complications are a significant care and cost burden.

40%

of all wounds are infected or critically colonized¹



It is estimated that 2-4% of healthcare expenditure across Europe is spent on wound care² Costs are expected to increase even more as the population ages and the incidence of comorbid conditions that give rise to wounds increases³



Costs may spiral if a wound does not receive the right therapy at the right time:

 Stalled wounds may develop complications such as infection, resulting in higher costs and longer hospital stays⁴




A smart start to managing bioburden.

The number of microorganisms with which an object is contaminated is referred to as the bioburden.⁵

Bioburden formation is commonly considered to occur in five main stages⁶:

Manage Bioburden



Bacteria replicate as fast as every 30 minutes⁹ and biofilm characteristics appear within 5 hours¹⁰

3M[™] Veraflo[™] Therapy helps reduce bioburden through repeated cleansing cycles.

It can help:



Cleanse

Delivers topical wound solutions that dwell in the wound to help dilute and solubilize infectious material¹³



Remove

Removes solubilized wound debris and infectious materials, under negative pressure to lower bioburden¹⁴



Promote

Promotes granulation tissue formation and perfusion to prepare the wound for closure¹⁵



Veraflo Therapy: Shown to promote granulation tissue formation.




A significant increase in granulation thickness

43%


(p=0.05)

Manage Bioburden

*These results have not been confirmed in human studies.



Histological images from a porcine study show a 43% increase in granulation tissue thickness between 3M™ V.A.C.® Therapy with the 3M™ V.A.C.® Granufoam™ Dressing (left) and Veraflo Therapy with the 3M™ V.A.C. Veraflo™ Dressing (right) after 7 days of therapy.¹6



Clinical Outcomes

Health Economics

Veraflo Therapy can provide improved clinical outcomes over standard of care in various wound types.

A systematic review of comparative studies and meta-analysis¹⁷ evaluated the performance of Veraflo Therapy versus control in 13 studies and 720 patients in various wound types. **Results of the analysis revealed Veraflo Therapy delivered significant advantages over standard of care.**



>30% Fewer surgical debridements^{17,18}

(1.77 debridements vs 2.69, p=0.008)



Wounds were ready for **closure** almost **twice as fast**^{17,18}

(7.88 days vs 14.36 days, p=0.003)



Wounds were 2.39 times more likely to close¹⁷

(p=0.01)



>50% reduced length of therapy^{17,18} (9.88 days vs 21.8 days, p=0.02)



4.4 times greater odds of reducing bacterial count¹⁷


Odds were 4.4 times greater (p=0.003)

Use of Veraflo Therapy can potentially reduce costs verses standard of care.

- Improved wound outcomes can result in health economic benefits
- An economic analysis of the Gabriel et al. meta-analysis¹⁷ with non-standardized means¹⁸ illustrates potential cost effectiveness for Veraflo Therapy in the UK

Veraflo Therapy Sample Health Economic Model

	Control	3M [™] Veraflo [™] Therapy	Potential Reduction
Trips to OR for debridement	2.69	1.77	34%
Mean cost of OR Debridement ^A	£459	£459	
Total OR debridement cost (trips x cost)	£1,234	£812	34%
Time to final surgical procedure	14.36	7.88	45%
Length of Therapy (days)	21.8	9.88	55%
Daily cost of therapy ^B	£44	£91	
Total therapy costs (days x daily cost)	£969	£903	7%
Total cost per patient	£2203	£1714	22%
Potential savings due to fewer trips to OR		£422	
Potential savings due to shorter length of therapy		£67	
Total potential savings per patient		£489	



Footnotes: A. Caputo WJ, Beggs DJ, DeFede JL, Simm L, Dharma H. A prospective randomised controlled clinical trial comparing hydrosurgery debridement with conventional surgical debridement in lower extremity ulcers. *Int Wound J* 2008;5:288–294. **B.** Control costs vary widely. Average V.A.C.® Therapy daily costs are used to be conservative. Daily Veraflo Therapy cost is an estimate only; individual facility cost may vary.

The 3M™ V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice™ Dressing helps facilitate the removal of thick wound exudate and other infectious material.



Provides a wound cleansing option for clinicians when surgical debridement must be delayed or is not appropriate as deemed by the clinician. Goals for using V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing are varied and include¹³:



Cleanse

Cleanse wounds when slough or nonviable tissue remains present on the wound surface



Remove

Remove thick exudates and infectious materials



Promote

Promote granulation tissue formation



Provide

Help provide a bridge to a defined endpoint for a clinical plan of care

Goals

Mechanism of Action

V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing with Veraflo Therapy can help¹³:







Soften


Separate

Solubilize

V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing Mechanism of Action

Instillation and dwell cycle helps to soften, separate and solubilize thick wound exudate and nonviable tissue.

Occlusive Drape



During the negative pressure wound therapy cycle, the V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing becomes compressed and provides mechanical movement at the wound surface to help remove thick slough exudate and non-viable tissue.



Dwell time: 10 minutes

NPWT time:

2 hours at -125mmHg

Solution: Hypochlorous



Day 6:

Dressing changes occurred every 3 days

30-year-old male patient with infected above-the-knee amputation stump. Comorbidities included tobacco use, anemia, and a history of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. Conservative sharp debridement was performed at the bedside, and oral antibiotics were initiated.

Patient data and photos courtesy of Luis Fernandez, MD, FACS, FASAS, FCCP, FCCM, FICS, University of Texas Health Science Center, Tyler, TX.

NOTE: As with any case study, the results and outcomes should not be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of similar results. Individual results may vary depending on the patients circumstances and condition.



Promote Granulation Tissue Outcomes & Economics

3M[™] V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice[™] Dressing

Case Studies

The 3M Advantage

Stage IV Pressure Ulcer

Diabetic Foot Wound

Venous Leg Ulcer

Above-Knee Amputation

Transfemoral Amputation

Patient results you have to see to believe: Veraflo Therapy and V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing.





Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing for stage IV pressure ulcer. See more >



Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing for diabetic foot wound. See more >



Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing for venous leg ulcer.

See more >



Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing for amputee with traumatic wound at stump. See more >



Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing for soft tissue defect following transfemoral amputation.

See more >



Promote Granulation Tissue Outcomes & Economics

3M[™] V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice[™] Dressing

Case Studies

The 3M Advantage

Stage IV Pressure Ulcer

Diabetic Foot Wound


Venous Leg Ulcer

Above-Knee Amputation

Transfemoral Amputation

Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing: Stage IV pressure ulcer.

A 64-year-old male with multiple comorbidities presented with a stage IV pressure ulcer of the sacrum present for over four years.




Day 0: Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing initiated.

Dwell time: 1 minute

NPWT time: 30 minutes at -150mmHg

Solution: Saline (22mL)



Day 3: Wound at first dressing change following three days of Veraflo Therapy and V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing.



Day 7: Wound after one week of Veraflo Therapy and V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing plus surgical debridement to remove tip of the coccyx and non-viable slough/adipose tissue.



Day 12: Wound after discontinuation of Veraflo Therapy, colostomy and resumption of Veraflo Therapy for five days. Patient is then switched to V.A.C.® Therapy.



Day 16: Wound after nine days of V.A.C.® Therapy. Patient discharged.



Promote Granulation Tissue Outcomes & Economics

3M[™] V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice[™] Dressing

Case Studies

The 3M Advantage

Stage IV Pressure Ulcer

Diabetic Foot Wound

Venous Leg Ulcer

Above-Knee Amputation

Transfemoral Amputation

V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing with Veraflo Therapy for diabetic patient with chronic foot wound.

A 54-year-old male with hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and Charcot foot was admitted to the hospital with a chronic left foot wound.



Figure A: Wound at presentation.

Dwell time: 10 minutes

NPWT time: 3.5 hours

at -125mmHg

Solution: Vashe® Wound

Therapy Solution



Figure B: Patient is treated with an intravenous antibiotic regime, followed by surgical debridement with excision of necrotic tissue (Figure B). Patient begins Veraflo Therapy using V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing.



Figure C: After two days of Veraflo Therapy, the wound bed displays healthy granulation tissue with minimal devitalized tissue or thick slough. V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice dressing is changed.



Figure D: After 14 days and 4 dressing changes, Veraflo Therapy is discontinued and human dermal collagen is applied.



Promote Granulation Tissue Outcomes & Economics

3M[™] V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice[™] Dressing

Case Studies

The 3M Advantage

Stage IV Pressure Ulcer

Diabetic Foot Wound

Venous Leg Ulcer

Above-Knee Amputation

Transfemoral Amputation

V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing with Veraflo Therapy: Venous leg ulcer.

A 60-year-old female presented with a venous leg ulcer (10cm x 16cm x 1.5cm) of the right distal lower extremity. Systemic antibiotics were initiated upon presentation.




Figure 1: Wound at presentation. A. Anterior view. B. Medial view.

Dwell time: 10 minutes

NPWT time: 1 hour at -125mmHg

Solution: 34mL of quarter-strength

Dakin's® Solution




Figure 2: Wound after 24 hours of V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing with Veraflo Therapy. Solution changed to 28mL normal saline.




Figure 3: Wound after 8 days of Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing showed decrease in size and healthy granulation tissue. Patient was transitioned to Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Dressings.

Dwell time: 10 minutes

NPWT time: 2 hours at -125mmHg

Solution: Saline




Figure 4: Wound after 2 days Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Dressing showed healthy granulation tissue. Patient transitioned to advanced wound dressing and compression therapy.




Figure 5: Wound after 7 days of advanced wound dressing and compression therapy showed continued improvement with healthy granulation tissue.




Figure 6: Wound approved for allograft: Anterior (A) and medial (B) views prior to allograft procedure; anterior (C) and medial (D) views of allograft application.



Figure 7: After 2 days patient was discharged to a skilled nursing facility. After 44 days wound demonstrated areas of re-epithelialization.




Figure 8: Fully closed after 102 days (A) of advanced wound dressing care and compression dressings; remained closed 56 days post closure (B).



Promote Granulation Tissue Outcomes & Economics

3M[™] V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice[™] Dressing

Case Studies

The 3M Advantage

Stage IV Pressure Ulcer

Diabetic Foot Wound


Venous Leg Ulcer

Above-Knee Amputation

Transfemoral Amputation

V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing with Veraflo Therapy: Traumatic wound.

A 33-year-old male amputee with history of tobacco use, anemia, and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* presented with infection of above-the-knee stump. Conservative sharp debridement was performed at the bedside and oral antibiotics were initiated.



Day 0: Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing initiated.

Dwell time: 10 minutes

NPWT time: 2 hours at -125mmHg

Solution: Hypochlorous solution


(80-100mL)



Day 3: Wound after 3 days of Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing.



Days 6 and 9: Further granulation tissue and reduction in slough after 6 and 9 days of V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing. Veraflo Therapy discontinued and switched to V.A.C.® Therapy.



Day 12: Wound after 1-day V.A.C.® Therapy. Patient was discharged to a long-term care facility 12 days after admission to the hospital.



Promote Granulation Tissue Outcomes & Economics

3M[™] V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice[™] Dressing

Case Studies

The 3M Advantage

Stage IV Pressure Ulcer

Diabetic Foot Wound

Venous Leg Ulcer

Above-Knee Amputation

Transfemoral Amputation

V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing with Veraflo Therapy: Soft tissue defect following transfemoral amputation.

Following a boating injury, a 26-year-old female received transfemoral amputation that resulted in soft tissue defect measuring approximately 90 × 45cm². Antibiotics were administered throughout the patient treatment period.



Day 1: Extensive wound on injured leg debrided of devitalized tissue and irrigated. V.A.C.® Therapy at -125mmHg applied.



Day 6: Following diagnosis of macrophage activation syndrome, patient received further debridement and irrigation due to aggressive infection.



Day 9: With patient in critical condition and debridement no longer an option, Veraflo Therapy with V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice Dressing was initiated.

Dwell time: 5 minutes

NPWT time: 2 hours at -150mmHg **Solution:** 100mL Dakin's® Solution



Day 13: Wound demonstrated healing at 4 days following initiation of Veraflo Therapy.



Day 17: Wound showed absence of devitalized tissue, with increase in vascularity and significant granulation. Veraflo Therapy was transitioned to V.A.C. Veraflo Dressing.



Day 25: Wound measured approximately 25 × 30cm, with significant granulation tissue and considerable coverage over the femur fragment.



Day 43: The patient underwent a tangential excision and split-thickness skin graft, which was covered with a non-adherent layer and bolstered using V.A.C.® Therapy.



Day 167: Patient 167 days after initial injury, taking first steps on a new prosthesis.



With a comprehensive portfolio of advanced wound care solutions, 3M is at the forefront of scientific innovation, collaborating with clinical partners to develop proven clinical therapies at every point in the patient journey. Transforming outcomes through patient-centric science, 3M is setting high standards across the continuum of care.



Large portfolio
of advanced wound care
therapies to support
your patient every step
of the way



peer-reviewed publications support the value of 3M negative

pressure technology



>100
peer-reviewed publications
on Veraflo Therapy



>10M

wounds treated worldwide with V.A.C.® Therapy¹⁹



World class, award-winning educational programs

3M.com/MedicalEducation



>25 years of transformative technology

in Negative Pressure Wound Therapy leadership



V.A.C. Veraflo Dressing specifications and fill volumes*

Manage Bioburden

	3M™ V.A.C. Veraflo™ Dressing: Small & Medium*	3M™ V.A.C. Veraflo™ Dressing: Large*	3M™ V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice™ Dressing*	
Wound characteristics	Open wounds, including wounds with shallow undermining or tunnel areas where the distal aspect is visible	Large open wounds, including wounds with shallow undermining or tunnel areas where the distal aspect is visible	Wounds with thick wound exudate, s	uch as fibrin, slough or infectious material
Dressing specifications	Small: L x W x D 3.0" x 4.4" x 0.7" 7.7 × 11.3 × 1.8cm Medium: L x W x D 5.8" x 6.8" x 0.7" 14.7 × 17.4 × 1.8cm	Large: L x W x D 10.1" x 5.9" x 0.6" 25.6 × 15.0 × 1.6cm	Medium: L x W x D1 or D2 or D3 7.1" x 4.9" x D1 or D2 or D3 18.0cm x 12.5cm x D1 or D2 or D3 Large: L x W x D1 or D2 or D3 10.1" x 5.9" x D1 or D2 or D3 25.6cm x 15.0cm x D1 or D2 or D3	D = layer thickness D1 = 0.3" (0.8cm) thin cover layer D2 = 0.6" (1.6cm) thick cover layer D3 = 0.3" (0.8cm) wound contact layer (1.0cm circular holes; 5mm spacing)
Fill volume start points	Small: 12-80mL (1 piece) 26-160mL (2 pieces) Medium: 38mL (1 piece) 80mL (2 pieces)	Large: 55mL (1 piece) 110mL (2 pieces)	Medium: 85mL (1.6cm cover layer); 42mL (0.8cm cover layer); 24mL (0.8cm wound contact layer) Large: 150mL (1.6cm cover layer); 75mL (0.8cm cover layer); 42mL (0.8cm wound contact layer)	

3M[™] V.A.C. Veraflo[™] Dressings and Accessories for use with 3M[™] Veraflo[™] Therapy

ULTVFL05SM	3M [™] V.A.C. Veraflo [™] Dressing, small, 5-pack	ULTVCC05LG	3M™ V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice™ Dressing, large, 5-pack
ULTVFL05MD	3M [™] V.A.C. Veraflo [™] Dressing, medium, 5-pack	ULTLNK0500	3M™ V.A.C. Veralink™ Cassette, 5-pack
ULTVCL05MD	3M [™] V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse [™] Dressing, medium, 5-pack	ULTDUO0500	3M™ V.A.C. VeraT.R.A.C. Duo™ Tube Set, 5-pack
ULTVFL05LG	3M [™] V.A.C. Veraflo [™] Dressing, large, 5-pack	M8275063/5	500mL Canister with gel for use with 3M™ V.A.C.® Ulta Therapy System
ULTVCC05MD	3M™ V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice™ Dressing, medium, 5-pack	M8275093/5	1000mL Canister with gel for use with 3M™ V.A.C.® Ulta Therapy System



Promote Granulation Tissue Outcomes & Economics

3M[™] V.A.C. Veraflo Cleanse Choice[™] Dressing

Case Studies

The 3M Advantage

References:

- 1. September 2014 Survey, N = 240, Surgeons, Podiatrists, WOCNs and PT
- 2. Posnett J, Gottrup F, Lundgren H, Saal G. The resource impact of wounds on health-care providers in Europe. J Wound Care. 2009;18(4):154-161.1
- 3. Department of Health (DOH). Comorbidities: A framework of principles for system-wide action. London: DOH, 2014. Accessed March 2019 at: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/307143/Comorbidities_framework.pdf.
- 4. Dowsett C. Breaking the cycle of hard-to-heal wounds: balancing cost and care. Wounds Int. 2015;6(2):17-21.
- 5. Bjarnsholt T, Eberlein T, Malone M, Schultz G. Management of wound biofilm made easy. London: Wounds International 2017; 8(2).
- 6. A fact a day biofilms and wound care. Wound Source. 2018. Available at: https://pages.woundsource.com/woundsource-practice-accelerator-biofilms-and-wound-care/.
- 7. Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Greenberg EP. Bacterial Biofilms: A Common Cause of Persistent Infection. Science. 1999; 284 (5418):1318-1322.
- 8. Davies DG, Geesey GG. Regulation of the Alginate Biosynthesis Gene algC in Pseudomonas aeruginosa during Biofilm Development in Continuous Culture. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1995; 61(3):860-867.
- 9. Cicmanec F, Holder IA. Growth of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Normal and Burned Skin Extract: Role of Extracellular Proteases. Infect Immun. 1979; 25(2): 477-483.
- 10. Harrison-Balestra C, Cazzaniga BS, Davis SC, et al. A Wound-Isolated Pseudomonas aeruginosa Grows a Biofilm In Vitro Within 10 Hours and Is Visualized by Light Microscopy. Dermatol Surg. 2003: 29(6):631-635.
- 11. Schaber JA, Triffo WJ, Suh SJ, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa Forms Biofilms in Acute Infection Independent of Cell-to-Cell Signaling. Infect Immun. 2007; 75(8):3715-3721.
- 12. Wolcott RD, Rumbaugh KP, James G, et al. Biofilm maturity studies indicate sharp debridement opens a time-dependent therapeutic window. J Wound Care. 2010; 19(8):320-328.
- 13. Teot L, Boissiere F, Fluieraru S. Novel foam dressing using negative pressure wound therapy with instillation to remove thick exudate. Int Wound J. 2017 Oct;14(5):842-848.
- 14. Brinkert D, Mazen A, Naud M, Maire N, Trial C, Teot L. Negative pressure wound therapy with saline instillation: 131 patient case series. Int Wound J. 2013 Dec;10 Suppl 1:56-60.
- 15. Gupta S, Gabriel A, Lantis J, Teot L. Clinical recommendations and practical guide for negative pressure wound therapy with instillation. Int Wound J. 2016 Apr;13(2):159-174.
- 16. Lessing C, Slack P, Hong KZ, Kilpadi D, McNulty A. Negative pressure wound therapy with controlled saline instillation (NPWTi): dressing properties and granulation response in vivo. Wounds. 2011 Oct;23(10):309-319.
- 17. Gabriel, Allen MD, FACS; Camardo, Mark MS; O'Rorke, Erin BS; Gold, Rebecca BS; Kim, Paul J. DPM, MS, FACFAS Effects of Negative-Pressure Wound Therapy With Instillation versus Standard of Care in Multiple Wound Types: Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis, Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery: January 2021 Volume 147 Issue 1S-1 p 68S-76S doi: 10.1097/PRS.00000000000007614.
- 18. Camardo, Mark. "Veraflo Meta-Analysis Standardized and Non-Standardized Means.", 3M Internal Report, San Antonio, Texas, 2020.
- KCI, Cumulative NPWT Wounds, 2018.



NOTE: Specific indications, contraindications, warnings, precautions, and safety information exist for these products and therapies. Please consult a clinician and product instructions for use prior to application. This material is intended for healthcare professionals.