

Update on Environmental Surfaces with the Spread of COVID-19

Is environmental hygiene an important infection prevention factor in reducing the spread of COVID-19?

Yes. Both the WHO and CDC recommend that to help reduce the spread of COVID-19, substantial environmental infection control procedures should be implemented¹⁻⁴. The CDC states routine cleaning and disinfection procedures appropriate for the COVID-19 virus and further recommends ensuring that environmental cleaning and disinfection procedures are followed consistently and correctly.^[Section 10 of Ref. 3]

Can the patient environment potentially contribute to the transmission of COVID-19?

Yes. A Research Letter from Singapore's National Centre for Infectious Diseases⁵ supports the importance of environmental disinfection in the context of COVID-19. The preliminary results of this study (N=140 surface measurements) found that viral RNA was detected on nearly all environmental surfaces tested in the airborne infection isolation room of a patient with symptomatic mild COVID-19 prior to routine cleaning. Furthermore, the study showed that viral RNA was not detected in the rooms of two other symptomatic patients, after routine cleaning. The study concluded that: "Significant environmental contamination by patients with SARS-CoV-2 through respiratory droplets and fecal shedding suggests the environment as a potential medium of transmission and supports the need for strict adherence to environmental and hand hygiene"⁵.

Can COVID-19 persist on environmental inanimate surfaces that have not been adequately cleaned?

Yes. An initial clinical study showed that COVID-19⁶ can persist on surfaces, with similar half-lives as other coronaviruses. In addition, a literature review of studies relating to other human and veterinary coronaviruses⁷ asserted the available literature supports human coronaviruses, including COVID-19, can remain on surfaces for a considerable amount of time. Persistence of COVID-19 is dependent on the material of a given surface, with longer halflives observed on stainless steel and plastic surfaces⁶.

How do I know that my surfaces have been adequately cleaned?

Several clinical studies demonstrate the value of going beyond visual inspection and adopting an objective and quantifiable cleaning monitoring method as a critical component of a robust environmental hygiene infection prevention program⁸. Monitoring methods are used to verify environmental surfaces cleanliness of and to monitor compliance of EVS staff to cleaning protocols. ATP monitoring is a common method used to verify environmental surface cleanliness⁹⁻¹⁵.

Can I detect the presence of COVID-19 using ATP monitoring or other monitoring solutions?

No. As of March 27, 2020, there are no monitoring solutions applicable to environmental hygiene that can specifically detect the presence of COVID-19. The goal for monitoring environmental cleaning is not to detect the presence of COVID-19, rather to detect the presence of clinical soil (for example, expectorated aerosolised droplets or fecal shedding) that may contain COVID-19, and to assess if that contamination has been adequately removed as a result of cleaning. Physical removal (i.e. cleaning) of clinical soil from a surface can be effectively monitored using an ATP system to a standard that goes well beyond visual inspection^{9-15.} Importantly, ATP monitoring has been shown to be an effective monitoring method even when the pathogen of concern (C difficile) is an organism (spore) that has a negligible cellular ATP content^{16-19.} For example, in Donskey et al. [16], ATP monitoring was used as an intervention providing "an objective measure of the effectiveness of cleaning." Effective measurement of cleaning was found to contribute to a reduction of the prevalence of positive cultures from CDI rooms by 89%¹⁶.

I've seen ATP monitoring in patient and operating rooms or in the endoscopy suite, but not in an ICU setting. Can I use it for my patient rooms in the ICU?

Yes. Clinical studies support and suggest how ATP monitoring can be effectively used to monitor cleaning in intensive care units²⁰⁻²¹. These studies suggest several ICU surfaces should be monitored for discharge (terminal) cleaning efficacy. In the study by Deshpande et al.²¹ the following surfaces in an ICU setting were monitored using an ATP system:

ICU Patient Rooms	ICU Common Areas
Bedside supply cart handle	Cardiac monitor control panel
IV pump monitor	Telephone
Bed rail	Workstation keyboard
Ventilator control panel	Medicine dispensing station
Cardiac monitor control panel	Crash carts

Please note that the table above is not meant to be used as a comprehensive list and there may be additional surfaces to test, based on the infection prevention practices of a given facility.

How do I learn more about 3M[™] Clean-Trace[™] ATP Surface Test UXL100?

To learn more about how the 3M[™] Clean-Trace[™] ATP Surface Test UXL100 can aid in your routine environmental cleaning process monitoring, please contact your local 3M Medical Solutions Sales Representative, or the 3M Healthcare Help Line at 1-800-136-136 in the USA. Additional information can also be found at <u>https://www.3m.com.au/3M/en_AU/company-au/all-3m-products/?N=5002385</u> +8710807+8711017+8711414+3294857444&rt=r3

Each healthcare facility is responsible for determining whether the recommendations contained herein are appropriate for your setting and whether they will enable you to comply with any governmental or facility requirements, and your facility's policies and protocols.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Home care for patients with suspected novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection presenting with mild symptoms and management of contacts (Interim guidance, 17 March 2020 Publication). <u>https://www.who.int/publications-detail/home-care-for-patients-with-suspected-novel-coronavirus-(ncov)-infection-presenting-with-mild-symptoms-and-management-of-contacts</u>
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim guidance for persons who may have 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019nCoV) to prevent spread in homes and residential communities. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/guidance-prevent-spread.html#First_heading</u>
- 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim Infection Prevention and Control Recommendations for Patients with Confirmed 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) or Patients Under Investigation for 2019-nCoV in Healthcare Settings. February 3, 2020. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-nCoV/hcp/infection-control.html</u>
- 4. World Health Organization. Infection prevention and control during health care when novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected. March, 2020. <u>https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/10665-331495</u>

- 5. Ong SWX, Tan YK, Chia PY, Lee TH, Ng OT, Wong MSY, Marimuthu K. Air, Surface Environmental, and Personal Protective Equipment Contamination by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) From a Symptomatic Patient; JAMA. 2020 Mar 4. [Epub ahead of print]
- van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, Holbrook MG, Gamble A, Williamson BN, Tamin A, Harcourt JL, Thornburg N, Gerber SI, Lloyd-Smith JO, de Wit E, Munster VJ. Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1; N Engl J Med. 2020 Mar 17. [Epub ahead of print]
- 7. Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, Steinmann E. Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents.; J Hosp Infect. 2020 Mar;104(3):246-251.
- Jennifer H. Han, MD, MSCE*; Nancy Sullivan, BA*; Brian F. Leas, MS, MA*; David A. Pegues, MD; Janice L. Kaczmarek, MS; Craig A. Umscheid, MD, MSCE. Cleaning Hospital Room Surfaces to Prevent Health Care-Associated Infections: A Technical Brief; Ann Intern Med. 2015;163(8):598-607.
- Snyder GM, Holyoak AD, Leary KE, Sullivan BF, Davis RB, Wright SB. Effectiveness of visual inspection compared with non-microbiologic methods to determine the thoroughness of post-discharge cleaning; Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2013 2(1):26.
- 10. Mulvey, D. et al. Finding a benchmark for monitoring hospital cleanliness; Journal of Hospital Infection, 2011 77(1):25-30.
- 11. Luick L, Thompson PA, Loock MH, Vetter SL, Cook J, Guerrero DM. Diagnostic assessment of different environmental cleaning monitoring methods; Am J Infect Control. 2013 41(8):751-2.
- 12. Smith PW, Gibbs S, Sayles H, Hewlett A, Rupp ME, Iwen PC. Observations on hospital room contamination testing; Healthc Infect 2013 18:10-3.
- 13. Malik RE, Cooper RA, Griffith CJ. Use of audit tools to evaluate the efficacy of cleaning systems in hospitals; Am J Infect Control 2003 31:181-7.
- 14. Branch-Elliman W, Robillard E, McCarthy G Jr, Gupta K. Direct feedback with the ATP luminometer as a process improvement tool for terminal cleaning of patient rooms; Am J Infect Control 2014 42:195-7.
- 15. Smith PW, Beam E, Sayles H, Rupp ME, Cavalieri RJ, Gibbs S, et al. Impact of adenosine triphosphate detection and feedback on hospital room cleaning; Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2014 35:564-9.
- 16. Sitzlar, B., Deshpande, A., Fertelli, D., Kundrapu, S., Sethi, A., Donskey, C. An environmental disinfection odyssey: Evaluation of sequential interventions to improve disinfection of clostridium difficile isolation rooms. The role of the environment in Infection Prevention. May 2013. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 34(4):459-465.
- 17. Katherine Hardy, Gill Abbott, Sarah Bashford, Helen Bucior, Jane Codd, Madelaine Holland, Mandy Reynolds, Avril Simms, Diane Thomlinson. Can measuring environmental cleanliness using ATP aid in the monitoring of wards with periods of increased incidence of Clostridium difficile?; J Infect Prev. 2014 Jan; 15(1): 31–35
- 18. Deshpande A, Donskey CJ. Practical Approaches for Assessment of Daily and Post-discharge Room Disinfection in Healthcare Facilities; Curr Infect Dis Rep. 2017 Sep;19(9):32.
- Deshpande A, Sitzlar B, Fertelli D, Kundrapu S, Sunkesula VC, Ray AJ, Donskey CJ. Utility of an adenosine triphosphate bioluminescence assay to evaluate disinfection of Clostridium difficile isolation rooms; Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2013 Aug;34(8):865-7.
- 20. Boszczowski, Icaro; Alfa, Michele; Hopman, Joost; Donskey, Curtis J. et al. Comparison of ATP, Colony Count and Surface Marker as a Measure of Environmental Cleaning Compliance for Intensive Care Discharge Rooms; American Journal of Infection Control, Volume 44, Issue 6, S77
- 21. Deshpande A, Dunn AN, Fox J, Cadnum JL, Mana TSC, Jencson A, Fraser TG, Donskey CJ, Gordon SM. Monitoring the effectiveness of daily cleaning practices in an intensive care unit (ICU) setting using an adenosine triphosphate (ATP) bioluminescence assay; Am J Infect Control 2019 Dec 26. [Epub ahead of print]



3M Medical Solutions Division 3M Australia Pty Limited ABN 90 000 100 096 Building A, 1 Rivett Road North Ryde NSW 2113 Phone: 1300 363 878 www.3M.com.au/medical 3M Medical Solutions Division 3M New Zealand Limited 94 Apollo Drive Rosedale Auckland 0632 Phone: 0800 80 81 82 www.3M.co.nz/medical