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Application Description:
Structural adhesives are increasingly being used to displace welds or mechanical fasteners in industrial applications. Many of these applications experience high impact loads, and as such it is important to understand the qualifiable and quantifiable impact performance of structural adhesives. Especially as applications migrate from welded steel-to-steel assemblies to bonded dissimilar material assemblies, high-strain-rate impact performance of a system will become more important for predicting the performance of the structural adhesive bond. The Corporate Research Labs at 3M have developed a test method for evaluating impact, providing a fully quantified impact curve for adhesives in shear.

One application family of interest is a tube-in-tube or collar-to-shaft assembly shown schematically in Figure 1. Applications such as these are prone to shear from an impact event. Some common industrial applications may include flanges or collars on pipes, shafts and hosels in golf clubs, drive shafts in automotive, or beam extensions in signage. Applications such as these that were historically welded are migrating to plastics, composites, or aluminum requiring the use of structural adhesives. This allows for weight savings, performance improvements, or cost reductions.

Figure 1: Representation of a bonded tube-in-tube assembly that maintains shear loading of the adhesive bond line.

Additional considerations in joints such as these include cure shrinkage, bond line spacing, void-free adhesive fill, among others. However, the testing outlined below attempts to provide quantified shear impact performance of two structural adhesives known for their impact performance and durability.
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Purpose of Test:
Shear impact can be reliably tested using an Instron CEAST 9340 drop tower. These drop towers have typically been used for puncture testing or as a platform for tensile impact; however, through modifications of the fixture and sample geometry, it can be retrofitted to test in shear modes. The sample geometry and impact location can be seen in Figure 2. The shear impact specimen consists of three substrate blocks bonded in two bond lines. The symmetry prevents torquing of the sample or mixed-mode stresses common in other impact methods such as the shear pendulum.

![Image of fixture holder and adhesive geometry used during a shear impact event.](image)

*Figure 2: Fixture holder and adhesive geometry used during a shear impact event.*

The advantages of using a CEAST 9340 are threefold. The impact conditions can be carefully controlled and varied; the test provides a complete force vs. time displacement reading and the substrates, adhesives, and preparation conditions can be varied to match the requirements of the application.

Per internal 3M test methods, striker geometry and drop conditions were chosen to maintain a uniform strain rate throughout the impact. Based on the geometries chosen, the engineering strain rate of the impact can be calculated or modified as needed.

Process considerations such as bond line spacing, void-free filling, and cure shrinkage may impact assembly-level impact performance. Table 1 provides typical values and considerations to be used for production.

*Table 1: Typical values and process considerations for 3M™ Scotch-Weld™ Epoxy Adhesive DP420NS Black and 3M™ Scotch-Weld™ Metal Bonder Acrylic Adhesive DP8407NS Gray.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adhesive</th>
<th>Beads</th>
<th>Mixed Viscosity</th>
<th>Open Time (72°F (22°C))</th>
<th>Time to handling strength (50 PSI) at 72°F (22°C).</th>
<th>Cure Shrinkage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DP420NS Black</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>180,000 cps</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>120 min</td>
<td>2-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP8407NS Gray</td>
<td>0.010”</td>
<td>20,000 cps</td>
<td>7 min</td>
<td>24 min</td>
<td>10-20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Results:**

Impact specimen were prepared using three 10x10x20 mm 6061 aluminum blocks. Two adhesives were chosen for comparison with specimen seen in Figure 3: 3M™ Scotch-Weld™ Epoxy Adhesive DP420NS Black and 3M™ Scotch-Weld™ Metal Bonder Acrylic Adhesive DP8407NS Gray. Two different sample preparations were compared:

1. Solvent degrease, 180-grit grit blast, solvent degrease, plasma treatment
2. Isopropyl alcohol wipe only

The 3M™ Scotch-Weld™ Metal Bonder Acrylic Adhesive DP8407NS contains glass spacer beads at 0.010” thickness. For comparable results, 0.010” spacer beads were added to the bonds prepared with 3M™ Scotch-Weld™ Epoxy Adhesive DP420NS Black. Adhesives were cured for six hours at room temperature, followed by one hour accelerated cure at 100°C. All samples were equilibrated to room temperature prior to testing.

![Figure 3: Bonded impact specimen using DP420NS Black and DP8407NS Gray.](image)

High-speed footage of the impact events was taken for both 3M™ Scotch-Weld™ Epoxy Adhesive DP420NS Black and 3M™ Scotch-Weld™ Metal Bonder Acrylic Adhesive DP8407NS Gray. Screen captures of the impact can be seen in Figures 4-5.
Figure 4: Screen capture of the DP8407NS Green failing under shear impact.

Figure 5: Screen capture of the DP420NS Black failing under shear impact.

Force vs. time and energy vs. displacement curves can be extracted from the load cells allowing for comparisons between adhesives or different surface preparations. The data are presented in Figures 6-11. Qualitative analysis of the failure modes can also be determined post-impact. The failure modes of specimen are documented in Table 2.
Figure 6: Force vs. Time curves for DP420NS Black and DP8407NS Green. Samples were solvent degreased, grit blasted, and plasma treated prior to bonding. Average curves reported.

Figure 7: Energy vs. Displacement curves for DP420NS Black and DP8407NS Green. Samples were solvent degreased, grit blasted, and plasma treated prior to bonding. Average curves reported.

Table 2: Observed failure modes of test specimen after different surface preparations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adhesive</th>
<th>Surface Preparation</th>
<th>Failure Mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DP420NS Black</td>
<td>Degrease, Grit Blast, Plasma Treat</td>
<td>Adhesive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP420NS Black</td>
<td>Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Adhesive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP8407NS Green</td>
<td>Degrease, Grit Blast, Plasma Treat</td>
<td>Cohesive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP8407NS Green</td>
<td>Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Cohesive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 8-9: Force vs. Time curves for DP420NS Black and DP8407NS Gray comparing different surface preparations bonding 6061 aluminum. Average curves reported.

Figure 10-11: Energy vs. Displacement curves for DP420NS Black and DP8407NS Green comparing different surface preparations bonding 6061 aluminum. Average curves reported.

Conclusions:

Structural adhesives are more commonly being used in applications that experience impact. Impact is a complex stress event, and an adhesive’s performance in impact must consider many variables including energy, force, time, stress mode, and the entire system geometry and materials. In addition, considerations such as substrate cleanliness, part alignment, void-free filling processes, or cure shrinkage must be considered to evaluate performance on the assembly level.

Using drop tower impact equipment, 3M Corporate Research Labs have developed a test method to provide quantified impact curves for adhesives in shear. The data generated is useful for predicting the performance of various structural adhesives used in a system, allowing engineers to compare different adhesives, substrates, or surface preparation techniques of an assembly. The test method described and a discussion of the results provide a useful tool for engineers to evaluate structural adhesives in their design.

Two adhesives, 3M™ Scotch-Weld™ Epoxy Adhesive DP420NS Black and 3M™ Scotch-Weld™ Metal Bonder Acrylic Adhesive DP8407NS Gray, were compared in their shear impact performance bonding 6061 aluminum under different surface
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preparations. Recorded data provides quantitative comparisons of shear impact performance adhesive-to-adhesive or under differing surface preparations.

Before choosing an adhesive for use in an application that experiences impact, all process and performance characteristics should be evaluated and compared. It is critically important to not only evaluate individual material properties but the performance of an entire assembly.

"Important Notice:
All physical properties, statements, recommendations, opinions and technical information contained in this Report are based on tests we believe to be reliable, but the accuracy and completeness of the Report is not guaranteed. The use of this Report is at the sole discretion of the Report recipient and other users. Before using, the Report recipient and other users must determine the suitability of this Report for their intended application, and assume all risk and liability whatsoever in connection with any use of this Report. 3M MAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY ARISING OUT OF A COURSE OF DEALING OR OF PERFORMANCE OR USAGE OF TRADE. 3M SHALL NOT UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES IN ANY WAY RELATED TO THE USE OR MISUSE OF THIS REPORT."

Technical Information. The technical information, guidance, and other statements contained in this document or otherwise provided by 3M are based upon records, tests, or experience that 3M believes to be reliable, but the accuracy, completeness, and representative nature of such information is not guaranteed. Such information is intended for people with knowledge and technical skills sufficient to assess and apply their own informed judgment to the information. No license under any 3M or third party intellectual property rights is granted or implied with this information.

Product Selection and Use. Many factors beyond 3M’s control and uniquely within user’s knowledge and control can affect the use and performance of a 3M product in a particular application. As a result, customer is solely responsible for evaluating the product and determining whether it is appropriate and suitable for customer’s application, including conducting a workplace hazard assessment and reviewing all applicable regulations and standards (e.g., OSHA, ANSI, etc.). Failure to properly evaluate, select, and use a 3M product and appropriate safety products, or to meet all applicable safety regulations, may result in injury, sickness, death, and/or harm to property.

Warranty, Limited Remedy, and Disclaimer. Unless a different warranty is specifically stated on the applicable 3M product packaging or product literature (in which case such warranty governs), 3M warrants that each 3M product meets the applicable 3M product specification at the time 3M ships the product. 3M MAKES NO OTHER WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OR CONDITION OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR ARISING OUT OF A COURSE OF DEALING, CUSTOM, OR USAGE OF TRADE. If a 3M product does not conform to this warranty, then the sole and exclusive remedy is, at 3M’s option, replacement of the 3M product or refund of the purchase price. This is a preliminary data sheet and subject to change.

Limitation of Liability. Except for the limited remedy stated above, and except to the extent prohibited by law, 3M will not be liable for any loss or damage arising from or related to the 3M product, whether direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential (including, but not limited to, lost profits or business opportunity), regardless of the legal or equitable theory asserted, including, but not limited to, warranty, contract, negligence, or strict liability.