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2 Introduction

Intertek has conducted testing for 3M on 3M™ Fire Barrier Composite Sheet CS-195+ Testing was conducted following the standard methods of CDPH Specification 01350 v1.1: Standard Method for the Testing and Evaluation of Volatile Organic Chemical Emissions from Indoor Sources Using Environmental Chambers v1.1.

3 Test Samples

3.1.1. SAMPLE SELECTION

Two samples of 3M™ Fire Barrier Composite Sheet CS-195+ sample ID 98-0400-2947 manufactured on 11/15/2016. The material was sampled by Michael Anderson 3406 Pleasant Street, Knoxville, IA 50138. The samples were wrapped in aluminum foil and sealed with tape, paced in a plastic bag. The sample was shipped on 11/15/2016, and arrived at the lab on 11/16/2016. The Middleton Lab ID Tracking number: MID1611161235-001

3.1.2. SAMPLE AND ASSEMBLY DESCRIPTION

The 19 inch by 19 inch sample was cut to 13.5 x 13.5 inch samples with a clean band saw by Intertek. The sample was place directly on the bottom of the square VOC chamber so that the metal surface is at the bottom of the VOC chamber. See the photo in the chamber in section 5 of this report.

The sample was immediately transferred to the environmental chamber and the date and time recorded. The sample was elevated in the chamber so that the sample surface was in the middle of the chamber.

4 Testing and Evaluation Methods


Testing for the private office, and classroom scenario, using 12 m² in classroom and 4 m² in the private office. The chamber volume is 224 L with an inlet flow of 224 L/hour. The load factor was 0.5246 m²/m³. The average temperature range was 23 °C +/- 2 and 50 +/- 5 %RH. The conditioning was from 10 days 11/18/16 to 11/28/16. The sampling started on 11/29/16 and completed 12/2/16. All GC and LC testing was completed by 12/5/2016.

The VOC for the LC sampling was collected on Sep-Pak DNPH-Silica Cartridges. Collection was performed at 50 ml/min for 20 minutes using a vacuum pump with a mass flow meter. The Sep-Pak DNPH-Silica Cartridges were stored in the refrigerator until eluted according to the manufactures instructions into 5 ml of ACN. The samples were collected at 96 hours within the time limitations specified in the standard. The Sep-Pak DNPH-Silica Cartridges samples were run on Shimadzu HPLC system using a Waters Symetry C18 5um 3.9 x 150 column. A gradient profile was used to run the standard Aldehyde/Ketone –DNPH Mix.

For the HPLC testing, no target VOCs were found at the 96 hr time point. No quantification was
The VOC for the GC/MS was collected on Thermo Desorption (TD) tubes Atas GL (A100054) fritted linters filled with Tenax GR packing material. Collection was performed at 50 ml/min for 20 minutes using a vacuum pump with a mass flow meter. The TD tubes were verified to be clean before testing. The samples were collected at 24, 48, and 96 hours within the time limitations specified in the standard, and tested the same day. The samples were run on Shimadzu GC/MS with an ATAS GL High Performance injector for the TD tubes. A Restek Rtx-VMS 40 meter, 0.18 mm ID, 1µm dp was used.

For determining TVOC direct injection of toluene was used with at least 5 different concentrations.

Standard Curves diluted with toluene were performed in triplicate for each standard. The standard was run with the same GC temperature profile as the TD tubes.

The LOQ for toluene was determined to be 0.008044 ug/m³.

### 4.1.1. Deviation from Standard Method

There were no deviations from the test standard.

### 4.2. RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

#### Product Quantities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>CAS Number</th>
<th>Retention Time</th>
<th>Area Count Sample</th>
<th>Area Count Background</th>
<th>Chamber Concentration</th>
<th>Chamber Background Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unknown at 11.783</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>166,716</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.598</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown at 13.783</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>157,042</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.541</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At 96 hour sampling an unknown at 11.816 was found in the sample but was below the LOQ. No VOC will be reported found at the 96 hour time point.
4.3. EXAMINATION OF RESULTS
No known VOC compounds were found. No Formaldehyde or Acetaldehyde were found using HPLC analysis.

5 Appendix A
Photo of tested sample:
6 Conclusion

Intertek has conducted testing on 3M™ Fire Barrier Composite Sheet CS-195+, to evaluate CDPH Specification 01350 v1.1; Standard Method for the Testing and Evaluation of Volatile Organic Chemical Emissions from Indoor Sources Using Environmental Chambers v1.1.

3M™ Fire Barrier Composite Sheet CS-195+ complies with limits specified in CDPH Specification 01350 v1.1 February 2010 for private office and classroom.

The conclusions of this test report may not be used as part of the requirements for Intertek product certification. Authority to Mark must be issued for a product to become certified.
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